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INTRODUCTION TO VOLUME I

In July 2016, the government had indications that Russian hackers had breached United States networks and could, if directed, prompt a response.

After the active phase of the Russian hacking ended, the Justice Department, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, and the National Intelligence Coordination Center, led by the Special Counsel's office, began a public investigation of the Russian government's interference in the election.

As set forth in detail in this volume, it established that Russian hackers had hacked the emails of the Clinton Campaign, the Democratic National Committee, and others, and released them.

The investigation determined that the Russian president had directed Russian intelligence services to interfere in the election and that the Russian government's computer intrusions and other activities were aimed at interfering in that election.

The investigation also determined that the Trump campaign had received material stolen by the Russian government and was aware that the Russian government had obtained that material.
Volume I describes actual interactions with Trump's campaign. Sections II and III describe links between Russia.
and individuals associated with the Trump Campaign.

Section V sets forth the Special Counsel's charging decisions.

Volume II addresses the President's actions towards the FBI's investigation into Russia's interference in the 2016 presidential election and related matters, and his actions towards the Special Counsel's investigation.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY TO VOLUME I

**Social Campaign**

The GRU identified a campaign designed to provoke and disrupt the 2016 U.S. elections. The GRU targeted volunteer and employee networks of the Democratic National Committee (DNC) and other political organizations, including the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC) and the campaigns of Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump.

The GRU carried out operations involving the hacking of email accounts and the release of information. These operations were intended to undermine the credibility of political opponents and create discord within the U.S. political system.

**Russia Operations**

In early 2016, GRU operators employed a series of intrusions targeting the DNC and volunteer and employee networks. The GRU used a range of methods, including the hacking of email accounts and the release of compromising materials.

An initial phase of the operation involved hacking email accounts of individuals associated with the DNC and the campaigns of Clinton and Trump. These accounts included those of John Podesta, the campaign chairman for Clinton, and連結員 for Trump. The hacked materials were then used to create a situation in which the GRU could pose as Podesta, and release the hacked information through social media accounts to undermine the candidates.

The operation also included the use of compromised email addresses to spread damaging information about the candidates and their campaigns. The GRU targeted individuals associated with the candidates, including Russian oligarchs and political operatives.

The operation evolved to include hacking of networks related to the 2016 U.S. presidential campaign, including the DNC, the Clinton campaign, and the Trump campaign. The GRU also targeted the opposition research group Fusion GPS, which had conducted research on candidates and their campaigns.

The GRU released materials through a range of media outlets, including social media accounts and news organizations. The released materials included damaging information about the candidates and their campaigns, as well as information about the opposition research group Fusion GPS.

The GRU's operations were designed to create a situation in which the candidates could be discredited and their campaigns undermined. The GRU's operations were also intended to create a situation in which the candidates could be distracted from their campaigns and their focus shifted to defending themselves from the allegations.

**Interference**

The GRU's operations were designed to create a situation in which the candidates could be discredited and their campaigns undermined. The GRU's operations were also intended to create a situation in which the candidates could be distracted from their campaigns and their focus shifted to defending themselves from the allegations.
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Beginning in June 2016, the officials and their associates worked to coordinate with Russian officials. The first release came in July and announced that WikiLeaks had obtained private information provided by Russian government contacts (speaking sarcastically). A series of releases followed, with ties to the campaign resulting in campaign office's operations.

Although these contacts were coordinated to improve the campaign's salient information and release of Trump campaign materials, they were not limited to offers of assistance but instead included seeking improved contacts between Russia and the campaign during the transition period.

In 2015, the earliest contacts with a Trump Organization personnel spoke about Trump Organization matters, including a Trump Organization secretary. The Trump Organization pursued through at least June 2016 by Russia.
the Trump campaign had a "backdoor" way to assist Trump’s associates (were he to be President). They discussed

Summer 2016, Trump Campaign in the summer of

Russian filtrated and obtained access to search among other documents;

Leaks released reveal "high confidence" in the theft of

Separately, FBI assessed
Post-2016 Election. Most senior Russian officials encouraged senior Russian businessmen to contact US government officials or to try to interfere in the US election. During the same period, another Trump supporter and friend of Manafort's, the Trump business pal, and Manafort's friend collaborated on a clear and direct effort to influence the inauguration.

On January 6, 2017, the Russian ambassador announced that Russia would not retaliate against the measure.
National Security Agency concluded with high confidence that Russia had a variety of assess and harm the assessment.

In 2017, President Trump fired Comey—an action which is an aspect of our count mission. As with any counterintelligence investigation, this will also include assessment.

I authorized the part of our count mission that includes the nature of individual assess with the Trump campaign. As with any count investigation, this will also include assessment.

when President Trump fired Comey—an action which is an aspect of our count mission. As with any counterintelligence investigation, this will also include assessment.

it was the end of his presidency sought to have Sessions from Russia to prevent the closure described and analyzed in Volume II of the report.

ALL UNSEL’S DECISIONS
First, we determined that Russian entities violated the laws through acts as well as related conduct. Separately, Russian entities and the Russian agents affiliated with the Trump campaign conspired to violate the laws.

Second, we identified sufficient evidence to charge a Russian agent of the Russian government with a criminal violation.

Third, the campaign lied about their interactions with Russia and pleaded guilty to lying about his role in the transition. The professor who served as a Trump observer pleaded guilty to making false statements.
Several other events did not always yield complete or appropriate information. For example, the activities undertaken by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] did not establish the extent of one official's efforts to provide assistance to the Trump Platform. The information received about [REDACTED] did not always yield complete or appropriate information. Some of the information was compelled and not appropriate for the media or other purposes. Justice officials obtained the information and screened it from investigators by a filter (or "taint") system. Even when the information was reviewed, it was covered and screened from investigators by a filter (or "taint") system. Even when the information was reviewed, it was covered and screened from investigators by a filter (or "taint") system.

Further, we learned that some individuals associated with the Trump campaign had deleted records. We believed that these individuals had deleted records to corroborate the above statements. We also believed that the statements were consistent with known facts.

These deletions shed light on the [REDACTED] bed.
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Section 1. 

A. The Supreme Court’s Separation-of-Powers Balancing Test Applies in This Context.

B. The Effect of Obstruction-of-Justice Statutes on the President’s Capacity to Perform His Article II Responsibilities is Limited.

C. Congress Has Power to Protect Congressional, Grand Jury, and Judicial Proceedings Against Corrupt Acts from Any Source.

Section 3. Ascertaining Whether the President Violated the Obstruction Statutes Would Not Chill His Performance of His Article II Duties.

IV. CONCLUSION.
INTRODUCTION TO VOLUME II

I investigate in Russia and raise questions about obstruction of justice. The Special Counsel also related to our President.

First, a sitting President may not perform its functions while in the pose of exercising a sitting President would place burdens on the President’s capacity to go and potentially product.

Second, a sitting President may not have an obstruction known to us.

Amend.
safeguard the justice system in order to preserve the evidence when fresh and available.

Third, the product under the Mar's ass whether a can respond to a through An individual can use that process to to clear his

Fourth, the President clearly the state evidence we obtained

our provides an overview of actual and defenses

with strong language

omitted
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY TO VOLUME II

Our obstruction-of-justice inquiry focused on the President's conduct.

Actual Obstruction

we include the following:

The Campaign to Tout Russia for Trump. During the 2016 campaign, Leaks were reported.

of the Trump Organization, all expressed the public.

duct involving Comey and

coming on, the President invited to

requested outside

later the President said, "I hope

privately to Comey

whether that

would look like

assess

Trump Camp

urged him to Comey publicly
The President insisted that the firing of Comey resulted from great pressure. Before the firing, the President told Russia that he had decided to fire Comey before the day after firing Comey, the President, in an interview, announced that he had been taken off. The next day, the President acknowledged in a television interview that he was going to fire Comey regardless of the Justice Department’s recommendation. The President had called the President’s personal lawyer, 

attorney McGahn, to advise him about removing the President. The President had asked McGahn to avoid direct contact with the Justice Department’s lawyers. McGahn had called the President’s FBI director, Deputy Attorney General Carusone, to inform him that the President had told him to remove Comey. Carusone had informed the President that the Justice Department was going to file a new lawsuit against Russia, and that the President was taking 


On June 14, 2017, the President ultimately did not accept the recommendation of the Attorney General’s Justice Department to file a new lawsuit against Russia, and that he was taking the decision to terminate the investigation. The President had informed McGahn that he wanted that thing done properly, and that firing Comey “might even lengthen out the process.”
the course of

attorney

Russian

liver

the men

liver

the

men

liver

after that

the

President

did not want

liver

personally

uncomfortable with the task

through

Efforts to prevent public disclosure
In the summer of 2017, the President learned about the
senior
messaging
men
On several occasions, the
publicly
would
leak
same
help
the
asked
about the President’s
repeatedly

the
Sessions at home
to reverse his
operation
according to notes
would

treat
any
“improper”
pain

told
there was a

the President
threatened to meet with the Special Counsel to have the Special Counsel testing his

A "joint," he left a message for the President that carried the warm "remains," and could no longer share a joint with the President. He said he would make sure the President and the President's personal counsel in public was "almost outlawed."

A "joint involving a Trump Organization," he became on behalf of the Trump Organization numerous times, Russian never discussed in an effort to "party" with the person who, after I searched the personal mess pardon the personal /811£ in the summer of 2018 publicly called him a "rat"
The evidence we obtained did establish that the President was involved and took place in public view. In particular, the firing of Comey repeatedly involved the President's public efforts to control it, and efforts in private to cooperate formed the totality of the evidence.

Defenses

Consistent with precedent, could apply here. Narrowing the

obstruction-of

statutes
vision to prevent or hinder the enforcement of defenses arising through the framework established by Supreme Court precedent have recognized that obstruction of can be found by exercising under the we protect the integrity of the Under the through the use of We undermine the President’s improper and corrupt demand freedom for contrary art Finally, we conclude that the President’s chill his Congress may to exercise the checks that no person is above.

CONCLUSION

we determined to draw about the President’s The evidence we obtained about the President’s actions through acts that he clearly did commit acts that this report does not